

1 KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321)
2 United States Attorney

3 MARK L. KROTOSKI (CSBN 138549)
4 Chief, Criminal Division

5 PETER B. AXELROD (CSBN 190843)
6 LAUREL BEELER (CSBN 187656)
7 Assistant United States Attorneys

8 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
9 San Francisco, California 94102
10 Telephone: (415) 436-6774
11 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234

12 Attorneys for Plaintiff

13
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

17 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 05-00395 CRB
18 Plaintiff,) REQUEST, STIPULATION AND ORDER
19 v.)
20 HYE CHA KIM,)
21 Defendant.)

22 This matter is currently on the Court's calendar for June 7, 2006. Through counsel,
23 defendant Hye Cha Kim and the United States ask the Court to (a) vacate the June 7, 2006 date
24 based on the parties' on-going plea negotiations, (b) set a date of July 26, 2006 for status/change-
25 of-plea, and (c) exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161 from June 7, 2006, to
26 July 26, 2006.

27 1. The parties are currently engaged in plea negotiations and request that the Court set the
28 matter for July 26, 2006, for status/change-of-plea.

1. Recently, the government reviewed additional discovery (including two binders with
hundreds of pages of reports and information) with defense counsel and is in the process of

ORDER
CR 05-00395 CRB

providing those materials to the defense. Defense counsel needs time to review those materials and confer with the defendant.

3. The parties agree that the time between June 7, 2006 and July 26, 2006 should be excluded from the Speedy Trial clock. Previously, the Court has declared this case complex. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii). Further, defense counsel needs time to review additional materials from the government and investigate the immigration consequences of a plea agreement. These matters require a short time to address, and so the parties agree that a continuance is necessary for the effective preparation of defense counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that the ends of justice served by excluding the period from June 7, 2006 to July 26, 2006 outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a Speedy Trial. See *id.* § 3161(h)(8)(A).

STIPULATED:

June 2, 2006 /S/ SCOTT SUGARMAN
DATE SCOTT SUGARMAN
Attorney for Hye Cha Kim

ORDER

For good cause shown, and for the reasons stated above, the Court (a) vacates the June 7, 2006, hearing date for defendant Hye Cha Kim based on the on-going plea negotiations, (b) sets the matter for status/change-of-plea on July 26, 2006, at 2:15 p.m., and (c) excludes times under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, from June 7, 2006, to July 26, 2006. The Court finds that the failure to grant the requested exclusion would deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Further, the Court finds the exclusion warranted on complexity grounds, under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii).

ORDER
CR 05-00395 CRB

1 Thus, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the requested exclusion outweigh
2 the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of
3 criminal cases. The Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under
4 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A), (h)(8)(B)(ii) and (h)(8)(B)(iv).

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6
7 DATED: June 5, 2006

